



Modules Whose Endomorphism Rings are Right Rickart

Thoraya Abdelwhab^{1,2} and Xiaoyan Yang^{1*}

¹Department of Mathematics, Northwest Normal University, Lanzhou, Gansu, P.R. China.

²Faculty of Mathematical Sciences, University of Khartoum, Khartoum, Khartoum, Sudan.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/ARJOM/2019/v13i230101

Editor(s):

(1) Dr. Wei-Shih Du, Professor, Department of Mathematics, National Kaohsiung Normal University, Taiwan.

Reviewers:

- (1) Celil Nebiyev, Ondokuz Mays University, Turkey.
(2) Pasupuleti Venkata Siva Kumar, Vallurupalli Nageswara Rao Vignana Jyothi Institute of Engineering & Technology, India.
(3) B. A. Alhousseynou, Cheikh Anta Diop University, Senegal.
(4) Ali Karakus, Gaziantep University, Turkey.

Complete Peer review History: <http://www.sdiarticle3.com/review-history/48015>

Received: 02 January 2019

Accepted: 12 March 2019

Published: 20 March 2019

Review Article

Abstract

In this paper, we study modules whose endomorphism rings are right Rickart (or right p.p.) rings, which we call R-endoRickart modules. We provide some characterizations of R-endoRickart modules. Some classes of rings are characterized in terms of R-endoRickart modules. We prove that an R-endoRickart module with no infinite set of nonzero orthogonal idempotents in its endomorphism ring is precisely an endoBaer module. We show that a direct summand of an R-endoRickart modules inherits the property, while a direct sum of R-endoRickart modules does not. Necessary and sufficient conditions for a finite direct sum of R-endoRickart modules to be an R-endoRickart module are provided.

Keywords: R-endoRickart module; endoBaer module; Rickart module; right Rickart ring; Baer ring.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 16Dxx.

*Corresponding author: E-mail: yangxy@nwnu.edu.cn

E-mail: thorayah_33@hotmail.com

1 Introduction

It is well known that Baer rings and Rickart rings (also known as p.p. rings) play an important role in providing a rich supply of idempotents and hence in the structure theory for rings. Rickart rings and Baer rings have their roots in functional analysis with close links to C^* -algebras and von Neumann algebras. Kaplansky [1] introduced the notion of Baer rings, which was extended to Rickart rings in ([2],[3]), and to quasi-Baer rings in [4], respectively. A number of research papers have been devoted to the study of Baer, quasi-Baer, and Rickart rings (see e.g [1], [5], [6], [7], [8], [9], [10], [11], [12], [13], [14], [15], [16], [17]). A ring R is said to be Baer if the right annihilator of any nonempty subset of R is generated by an idempotent as a right ideal of R . The notion of Baer rings was generalized to a module theoretic version and studied in recent years (see [18],[19]). An R -module M is called a Baer module if for each left ideal I of $S = \text{End}_R(M)$, $r_M(I) = eM$ for $e^2 = e \in S$. A more general notion of a Baer ring is that of a right Rickart ring. A ring R is called a right Rickart ring if the right annihilator of any element in R is generated by an idempotent as a right ideal of R . It is clear that any Baer ring is a right Rickart ring. A module M_R is called Rickart if the right annihilator of each left principal ideal of $\text{End}_R(M)$ is generated by an idempotent, i.e, for each $\varphi \in S = \text{End}_R(M)$, there exists $e = e^2$ in S such that $r_M(\varphi) = eM$. In this paper, we introduce the notion of R-endoRickart module, investigate some basic properties of these modules.

In section 2, we introduce the notion of R-endoRickart module, investigate some basic properties of these modules. It is shown that a direct summand of an R-endoRickart modules inherits the property. The classes of hereditary rings and von Neumann regular rings are characterized in terms of R-endoRickart R -modules.

In Section 3, we investigate when a direct sum of R-endoRickart modules is also R-endoRickart. We obtain necessary and sufficient conditions for a finite direct sum of copies of R-endoRickart modules to be R-endoRickart.

In Section 4, We show that if the endomorphism ring $\text{End}_R M$ of an R-endoRickart module M has no infinite set of nonzero orthogonal idempotents, then M is an endoBaer module (a module whose endomorphism ring is a Baer), and obtain that every R-endoRickart module with only countably many direct summands is an endoBaer module. We also prove that a module M is an R-endoRickart with the endomorphism ring $\text{End}_R M$ has the *SSIP* if and only if M is an endoBaer module.

Throughout this paper, all rings are associative with unity. All modules are unital right R -modules unless otherwise indicated and $S = \text{End}_R(M)$ is the ring of endomorphisms of M_R . $\text{Mod-}R$ denotes the category of all right R -modules, and M_R a right R -module. By $N \subseteq M$, $N_R \leq M_R$ and $N_R \leq^{\oplus} M_R$ denote that N is a subset, submodule and direct summand of M , respectively. By \mathbb{R} , \mathbb{Z} and \mathbb{N} we denote the ring of real, integer and natural numbers, respectively. \mathbf{Z}_n denotes $\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}$, $M^{(n)}$ denotes the direct sum of n copies of M . The notations $r_R(\cdot)$ and $r_M(\cdot)$ denote the right annihilator of a subset of M with elements from R and the right annihilator of a subset of R with elements from M , respectively.

2 R-endoRickart Modules

In this section, we introduce the notion of R-endoRickart module, investigate some basic properties of these modules. It is shown that a direct summand of an R-endoRickart modules inherits the property. The classes of hereditary rings and von Neumann regular rings are characterized in terms of R-endoRickart R -modules.

Definition 2.1. An R -module M is called R-endoRickart if $\text{End}_R(M)$ is a right Rickart ring.

Recall that R is a hereditary ring if all submodules of projective modules over R are again projective. If this is required only for finitely generated submodules, it is called semihereditary. Also recall R is a von Neumann regular ring if for every $a \in R$ there exists an $x \in R$ such that $a = axa$.

Remark 2.1. (1) Obviously, R_R is an R-endoRickart module if R is a right Rickart ring, a Baer ring, a von Neumann regular ring or a hereditary ring.

(2) Every semisimple module is an R-endoRickart module.

(3) Any Rickart module is an R-endoRickart since the endomorphism ring of a Rickart module is right Rickart [18, Proposition 3.2].

(4) Any Baer module is R-endoRickart since the endomorphism ring of a Baer module is a Baer. (see [20, Theorem 4.1]).

Recall that a sequence (a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots) is a p -adic number where p is a prime, if for all $n \geq 0$ we have $a_n \in \mathbb{Z}/p^{n+1}\mathbb{Z}$ and $a_{n+1} \equiv a_n \pmod{p^n}$. The set of p -adic numbers is denoted \mathbb{Z}_p and is called the ring of p -adic integers. In the next example we show that not every R-endoRickart module is a Rickart (i.e, the converse of Remark 2.1 (3) does not hold in general).

Example 2.1. Consider the module $M = \mathbb{Z}_{p^\infty}$, as a \mathbb{Z} -module. We know that the endomorphism ring $S = \text{End}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M)$ is the ring of p -adic integers (see [21, Example 3, p. 216]). Since S is a Baer ring, it is a Rickart ring, and then $M = \mathbb{Z}_{p^\infty}$ is an R-endoRickart module. However M is not a Rickart module.

Recall that a module M is k -local retractable if $r_M(\varphi) = r_S(\varphi)(M)$ for any $\varphi \in S = \text{End}_R(M)$.

Proposition 2.1. Let M be a k -local retractable module and $S = \text{End}_R(M)$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) M is an Rickart module.
- (ii) M is an R-endoRickart module.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) follows from Remark 2.1.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) Let M be an R-endoRickart module, since $S = \text{End}_R(M)$ is a right Rickart ring and M is k -local retractable module, then M is an Rickart module by [18, Theorem 3.9]. □

Recall that a module M is said to have D_2 condition if for any $N \leq M$ with $M/N \cong M' \leq^\oplus M$, we have $N \leq^\oplus M$.

Corollary 2.1. The following conditions are equivalent for a k -local retractable module M and $S = \text{End}_R(M)$:

- (i) M is an R-endoRickart module.
- (ii) M is an Rickart module.
- (iii) M satisfies the D_2 condition, and $\text{Im}\varphi$ is isomorphic to a direct summand of M for any $\varphi \in S$.

Proof. Follows from Proposition 2.1 and [18, Proposition 2.11]. □

If M is an R -module, N a direct summand of M , and e the projection of M onto N , then it is easy to see that e is an idempotent of $S = \text{Hom}_R(M, M)$ and $\text{Hom}_R(N, N) = eSe$. This fact will be used in the next proposition.

Proposition 2.2. Every direct summand of an R-endoRickart module is R-endoRickart.

Proof. Let M be an R-endoRickart module, N a direct summand of M , $S = \text{Hom}_R(M, M)$, and e the projection onto N . Then $\text{Hom}_R(N, N) = eSe$. But for any right Rickart ring S and any idempotent $e \in S$, eSe is a right Rickart ring by [18, Corollary 3.3]. Thus N is R-endoRickart. \square

Recall that a morphism $f : M \rightarrow N$, (M and N are right R -modules) is a regular morphism (or regular map) if there exists $g : N \rightarrow M$ such that $f = fgf$.

Remark 2.2. If M is an R-endoRickart module, then so are $\text{Ker}\varphi$ and $\text{Im}\varphi$ for every regular $\varphi \in \text{End}_R(M)$.

Proof. This follows from the fact that $\varphi \in \text{End}_R(M)$ is regular if and only if $\text{Ker}\varphi$ and $\text{Im}\varphi$ are direct summands of M by [22, Theorem 16]. \square

Corollary 2.2. *If R is a right Rickart ring, then eR is an R-endoRickart R -module for every $e^2 = e \in R$.*

Corollary 2.2 also follows from the fact that if R is a right Rickart ring then so is eRe for every $e^2 = e \in R$ by [18, Corollary 3.3].

The next example shows an application of Proposition 2.2.

Example 2.2. (Example 1.7, [23]) Let $A = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}_2$. Consider $T = \{(a_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \in A \mid a_n \text{ is eventually constant}\}$, $I = \{(a_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \in A \mid a_n = 0 \text{ is eventually}\} = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}_2$. Now, consider the ring $R = \begin{pmatrix} T & T/I \\ 0 & T/I \end{pmatrix}$ and the idempotent $e = \begin{pmatrix} (1, 1, \dots) & 0 + I \\ 0 & 0 + I \end{pmatrix}$ in R . Note that R is a right hereditary ring, but R is not a Baer ring. Since R is a right Rickart ring (being right hereditary), $M = R_R$ is an R-endoRickart module, and the modules $M_1 = eR$ and $M_2 = (1-e)R$ are endoRickart R -modules by Proposition 2.2.

The next example shows that the submodule of a module can be an R-endoRickart however the module is not.

Example 2.3. The \mathbb{Z} -module \mathbb{Z}_4 is not R-endoRickart since $S = \text{End}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}_4)$ is not right Rickart ring. However, the submodule $2\mathbb{Z}_4$ of \mathbb{Z}_4 is an R-endoRickart \mathbb{Z} -module because $2\mathbb{Z}_4 \cong_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_2$ (\mathbb{Z}_2 is a Rickart module).

Proposition 2.3. *If $\text{End}_R(M)$ is a von Neumann regular ring, then M is an R-endoRickart module.*

Proof. Since $\text{End}_R(M)$ is a von Neumann regular ring, then it is a right Rickart ring. Hence M is an R-endoRickart module. \square

Recall that a right R -module M is retractable if $\text{Hom}_R(M, N) \neq 0$ whenever N is a non-zero submodule of M . Also recall that a module M is quasi-retractable if $\text{Hom}_R(M, r_M(I)) \neq 0$ for every $I \leq S_S$ with $r_M(I) \neq 0$.

Proposition 2.4. *Let M be a (quasi-) retractable module and $S = \text{End}_R(M)$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) M is an Rickart module.
- (ii) M is an R-endoRickart module.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) follows from Remark 2.1.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) Let M be an R-endoRickart module, since $S = \text{End}_R(M)$ is a right Rickart ring and M is (quasi-) retractable module, then M is an Rickart module by [18, Proposition 3.5]. \square

Recall that a module M is said to have C_2 condition if any submodule N of M which is isomorphic to a direct summand of M is a direct summand of M .

Proposition 2.5. *Let M be either a (quasi-) retractable or a k -local retractable module and $S = \text{End}_R(M)$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) M is an R-endoRickart module with C_2 condition.
- (ii) S is a von Neumann regular ring.
- (iii) For each $\varphi \in S$, $\text{Ker}\varphi$ and $\text{Im}\varphi$ are direct summands of M .

Proof. Follows from [18, Theorem 3.17], Proposition 2.1, Proposition 2.3 and Proposition 2.4. □

Corollary 2.3. *Let M be either a (quasi-) retractable or a k -local retractable module with C_2 condition. If M is an R-endoRickart module, then $\text{Ker}\varphi$ and $\text{Im}\varphi$ are R-endoRickart for each $\varphi \in S$.*

Proof. $\text{Ker}\varphi$ and $\text{Im}\varphi$ are direct summands of M for each $\varphi \in S$ by Proposition 2.5. Thus they are R-endoRickart modules by Proposition 2.2. □

Next, we characterize several classes of rings in terms of R-endoRickart modules.

Theorem 2.1. *The following conditions are equivalent for a ring R :*

- (i) Every free module M_R is an R-endoRickart module.
- (ii) Every free module M_R is a Rickart module.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) This follows from the fact that the endomorphism ring of a free module M_R is a right Rickart ring if and only if M_R is a Rickart module by [18, Corollary 5.3].

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) It is clear. □

Recall that a module M is endoregular if $\text{End}_R(M)$ is a von Neumann regular ring.

Proposition 2.6. *Every endoregular module M is an R-endoRickart module.*

Proof. Let M be an endoregular module. Then $\text{End}_R(M)$ is a von Neumann regular ring, thus M is an R-endoRickart module by Proposition 2.3. □

Proposition 2.7. *Let M be either a (quasi-) retractable or a k -local retractable module with C_2 condition and $S = \text{End}_R(M)$, Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) M is an endoregular module.
- (ii) M is an R-endoRickart module.
- (iii) For each $\varphi \in S$, $\text{Ker}\varphi$ and $\text{Im}\varphi$ are direct summands of M .

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Follows from Proposition 2.6.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i), (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) and (iii) \Rightarrow (i) Follow from Proposition 2.5. □

Recall that a module M has the (strong) summand intersection property, *SIP* (*SSIP*), if the intersection of any two (any family of) direct summands is a direct summand of M . M is said to have the (strong) summand sum property, *SSP* (*SSSP*), if the sum of any two (any family of) direct summands is a direct summand of M .

Corollary 2.4. *Let M be either a (quasi-) retractable or a k -local retractable module with C_2 condition, then the following statements hold:*

- (i) Every R-endoRickart module M satisfies the *SIP* and the *SSP*.
- (ii) For every R-endoRickart module M , $\bigcap_{i=1}^n \text{Ker}\varphi_i$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n \text{Im}\varphi_i$ are R-endoRickart modules for every finite set $\{\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_n\}$ in $\text{End}_R(M)$.

Proof. (i) Note that every R-endoRickart module is an endoregular by Proposition 2.7. This is a direct consequence of [24, Proposition 2.28].

(ii) For each $\varphi_i \in \{\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_n\}$, $\text{Ker}\varphi_i$ and $\text{Im}\varphi_i$ are direct summands of M by Proposition 2.7. Then $\bigcap_{i=1}^n \text{Ker}\varphi_i$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n \text{Im}\varphi_i$ are direct summands of M by (i). Thus R-endoRickart modules by Proposition 2.2. □

Proposition 2.8. *Let M be an R -module and $S = \text{End}_R(M)$, if for every $0 \neq \varphi \in S$, φ is a monomorphism, then M is an indecomposable R -endoRickart module.*

Proof. Assume that M is not indecomposable. Then $M = N_1 \oplus N_2$ with $N_1, N_2 \neq 0$. Take $\varphi = \pi_1$ the canonical projection of M onto N_1 . Then $\text{Ker}(\varphi) = N_2 \neq 0$, a contradiction (as φ is a monomorphism), and so M is indecomposable. It is clear that for every $\varphi \in S$, $\text{Ker}\varphi \leq^\oplus M$, M is a Rickart module, and hence an R -endoRickart module. \square

Proposition 2.9. *If the $\text{End}(M)$ is a domain, then a module M is an indecomposable R -endoRickart.*

Proof. Every domain is trivially a right Rickart ring, then M is an R -endoRickart module. Since there are no idempotents other than 0 and 1 in a domain, M is also indecomposable. \square

Proposition 2.10. *If M is an R -endoRickart module, with only countably many direct summands, then M contains no infinite direct sums of disjoint summands.*

Proof. Since M has only countably many direct summands, S has no infinite set of nonzero orthogonal idempotents, hence there exist no infinite sets of mutually disjoint direct summands in M . \square

Corollary 2.5. *If M is an R -endoRickart module, with only countably many direct summands, then M is a finite direct sum of indecomposable summands.*

Proof. By Proposition 2.10, S has no infinite sets of orthogonal idempotents, hence any direct sum decomposition of M must be finite, thus M is a finite direct sum of indecomposable submodules. \square

Recall that a ring is regular in the sense of commutative algebra if it is a commutative unit ring such that all its localizations at prime ideals are regular local rings.

Corollary 2.6. *Let M be an R -endoRickart module with only countably many direct summands and the endomorphism ring $S = \text{End}_R(M)$ is a regular. Then M is a semisimple Artinian.*

Proof. S is a regular Baer ring with only countably many idempotents by [25, Theorem 7.55]. Then S is a semisimple Artinian ring, by [26, Theorem 2 and Theorem 3]. It is easy to check that M is also a semisimple Artinian module. \square

Corollary 2.7. *Let M be R -module with only countably many direct summands and $S = \text{End}_R(M)$ is a regular ring. Then M is an R -endoRickart module if and only if M is a semisimple Artinian.*

Proof. The proof follows directly from Remark 2.1 and Corollary 2.6. \square

Proposition 2.11. *The following conditions are equivalent for a ring R :*

- (i) *Every free R -module M is an R -endoRickart module.*
- (ii) *R is a right hereditary ring.*

Proof. Since that a free module is a retractable, M is R -endoRickart module if and only if it is a Rickart by Proposition 2.4. Thus every free R -module M is an R -endoRickart module if and only if R is a right hereditary ring by [18, Theorem 2.26] and Remark 2.1. \square

Corollary 2.8. *Let R be a right hereditary ring, then every projective right R -module is an R -endoRickart module.*

Proof. From Proposition 2.11 every free R -module is an R -endoRickart module, since that every projective module is a direct summand of a free module, then every projective module is an R -endoRickart by Proposition 2.2. \square

Proposition 2.12. *Let R be a von Neumann regular ring. Then a free module $R^{(n)}$ is an R-endoRickart R -module for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. This follows from the well-known fact that R is von Neumann regular if and only if so is $Mat_n(R)$. since $Mat_n(R) = End_R(R^n)$ is a von Neumann regular ring. Thus R^n is R-endoRickart by Proposition 2.3. \square

Recall that a ring R is a principal ideal domain or *PID* if R is an integral domain in which every ideal is principal, i.e., can be generated by a single element.

Proposition 2.13. *Let M be a free module M of countable rank over a principal ideal domain (PID) R , then M is an R-endoRickart and has the SSIP.*

Proof. Since R is a principal ideal domain (*PID*), then M has the *SSIP* (see [26, Exercise 51(c)], and it is a Rickart R -module by [18, Theorem 2.26]. Thus it is an R-endoRickart by Remark 2.1. \square

Corollary 2.9. *Let M be a projective module. Then the following statements hold:*

- (i) *Every submodule of M over a hereditary ring is an R-endoRickart module.*
- (ii) *Every finitely generated submodule of M over a von Neumann regular ring is an R-endoRickart module.*

Proof. (i) Since all submodules of projective modules over a hereditary ring R are again projective. Thus they are R-endoRickart modules by Corollary 2.8.

(ii) Let I be a finitely generated submodule of M . It is well-known that a von Neumann regular ring is left and right semihereditary, and every finitely generated submodule of a projective module over a von Neumann regular ring R is isomorphic to a direct summand of a finitely generated free R -module by [27]. Hence $I \cong K \leq^{\oplus} R^{(n)}$. Therefore, I is an R-endoRickart module by Proposition 2.2 and Propositions 2.12. \square

3 Direct Sums Of R-endoRickart Modules

It is shown that a direct sum of R-endoRickart modules may not be R-endoRickart. In this section, we investigate when a direct sum of R-endoRickart modules is also R-endoRickart. We obtain necessary and sufficient conditions for a finite direct sum of copies of (k -local) retractable R-endoRickart module to be R-endoRickart.

The next example shows that a direct sum of R-endoRickart modules may not inherit the R-endoRickart property.

Example 3.1. *A finite direct sum of R-endoRickart modules is not necessarily an R-endoRickart module. For example, the \mathbb{Z} -module $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$ is not R-endoRickart while \mathbb{Z} and \mathbb{Z}_2 are both R-endoRickart \mathbb{Z} -modules (\mathbb{Z} and \mathbb{Z}_2 are both Rickart modules). We note that the \mathbb{Z} -module $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$ is a retractable module (Any direct sum of \mathbb{Z}_p is retractable, where p is a prime number). For the endomorphism $f(x, \bar{y}) = \bar{x}$ where $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $y \in \mathbb{Z}_2$, $\text{Ker } f = 2\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$ which is not a direct summand of $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$. So $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$ is not a Rickart module [see ([20], Example 2.24)]. Thus $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$ is not an R-endoRickart module by Proposition 2.4.*

Recall that a module M is a quasi-continuous if every complement in M is a direct summand of M , and for any direct summands M_1 and M_2 of M such that $M_1 \cap M_2 = 0$, the submodule $M_1 \oplus M_2$ is also a direct summand of M .

Proposition 3.1. *Let M_i be a direct summand of a quasi-continuous R-endoRickart module M for all $i = 1, \dots, n$, such that $M_i \cap M_j = 0$ for $i \neq j$. Then M_i is an R-endoRickart module for all i and $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n M_i$ is an R-endoRickart module.*

Proof. Since M is a quasi-continuous module and $M_i \cap M_j = 0$ for all $i \neq j$, $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n M_i$ is a direct summand of M , Therefore, it is an R-endoRickart module by Proposition 2.2. \square

Proposition 3.2. *Let M be an artinian R-endoRickart module. Then there exists a decomposition*

$$M = N_1 \oplus N_2 \oplus N_3 \oplus \cdots \oplus N_n,$$

where N_i is an indecomposable R-endoRickart module for each i .

Proof. From [28, Proposition 19.20] Since M is artinian, there exists a decomposition

$$M = N_1 \oplus N_2 \oplus N_3 \oplus \cdots \oplus N_n,$$

where each N_i is an indecomposable. Also, each N_i is an R-endoRickart module by Proposition 2.2. \square

Proposition 3.3. *Let R be a commutative ring and $M = \bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i$ a direct sum of cyclic R-endoRickart modules M_i over an arbitrary index set I . If $S = \text{End}_R(M)$ is a domain, then M is an R-endoRickart module.*

Proof. Note that M is a k -local retractable Rickart module by [18, Proposition 4.9] and [18, Proposition 5.1]. Thus M is an R-endoRickart module by Proposition 2.1. \square

The following result study finite direct sums of copies of an arbitrary R-endoRickart module M .

Theorem 3.1. *Let M be a finitely generated R-endoRickart module and $S = \text{End}(M)$, then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) *The arbitrary direct sum of copies of M is an R-endoRickart module.*
- (ii) *S is a hereditary ring.*

3mm]Proof.(i)

\Rightarrow (ii) For a finitely generated module M and $S = \text{End}(M)$, we have that $\text{End}(M^{(f)}) \cong \text{End}(S^{(f)})$ as rings, where f is an arbitrary set. Hence, if an arbitrary direct sum of copies of M is R-endoRickart, its endomorphism ring $\text{End}(M^{(f)})$ is a right Rickart ring, hence $\text{End}(S^{(f)})$ is also a right Rickart ring, thus $S^{(f)}$ is an R-endoRickart module. Since $S^{(f)}$ is a free S -module, Hence By Proposition 2.11, S is hereditary.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) let $S = \text{End}(M)$ is hereditary, for an arbitrary set f , Since $S^{(f)}$ is a free S -module, we obtain that $S^{(f)}$ is an R-endoRickart S -module By Proposition 2.11, hence $\text{End}(S^{(f)})$ is a right Rickart ring, thus $\text{End}(M^{(f)})$ is a right Rickart ring, and $M^{(f)}$ is an R-endoRickart module. \square

The following result studies finite direct sums of copies of an arbitrary (k -local) retractable R-endoRickart module M .

Proposition 3.4. *Let M be a (k -local) retractable R-endoRickart module with C_2 condition. Then any finite direct sum of copies of M is an R-endoRickart module.*

Proof. Since a finite direct sum of copies of M is a Rickart module by [29, Corollary 2.31], Proposition 2.1 and Proposition 2.4. Thus it is an R-endoRickart by Remark 2.1. \square

The next example shows an application of Proposition 3.4.

Recall that an element $m \in M$ is singular if $r_R(m) \leq^{ess} R_R$. We denote the set of all singular elements of M by $Z(M)$. Then we say a module M nonsingular if $Z(M) = 0$ and singular if $Z(M) = M$. A ring R is right nonsingular if R_R is nonsingular.

Example 3.2. Let $R = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}_2$ and the R -module $M = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}_2$. Since M is a nonsingular quasi-injective R -module, M is a Rickart module with C_2 condition (see [29], Example 2.32), thus M is an R-endoRickart module with C_2 condition. Thus $M^{(n)}$ is an R-endoRickart module by Proposition 3.4.

Recall that a ring R is a Prüfer domain if R is a commutative ring without zero divisors in which every non-zero finitely generated ideal is invertible.

Theorem 3.2. ([30, Corollary 15]). If R is a commutative integral domain, then $M_n(R)$ is a Baer ring (for some $n > 1$) if and only if every finitely generated ideal of R is invertible, i.e., if R is a Prüfer domain.

Theorem 3.3. Let M be a free R -module of finite rank > 1 with only countably many direct summands. Then the following conditions are equivalent for a commutative integral domain R :

- (i) M is R-endoRickart.
- (ii) R is a Prüfer domain.

Proof. Consider R is a Prüfer domain, then $M_n(R)$ is a Baer ring by Theorem 3.2. but $End(M) \cong M_n(R)$ is a Baer ring, thus $End(M)$ is a right Rickart ring, so we obtain that M is an R-endoRickart module.

Conversely, if M is an R-endoRickart module, $End(M)$ is a right Rickart ring has no infinite set of nonzero orthogonal idempotents (as M is R -module with only countably many direct summands), then it is a Baer ring by [25, Theorem 7.55], hence $M_n(R)$ for $n > 1$ is a Baer ring, thus R must be a Prüfer domain. \square

Recall that a module over a ring is torsion free if 0 is the only element annihilated by a regular element (nonzero divisor) of the ring.

Proposition 3.5. Let M be a finite direct sum of copies of some finite rank, torsion-free module and $S = End(M)$ is a PID. Then M is R-endoRickart module.

Proof. By [32] $Ker\varphi \leq^{\oplus} M, \forall \varphi \in S$, hence M is a Rickart module, thus it is an R-endoRickart by our Remark 2.1. \square

Recall that a ring R is a right n -fir if any right ideal that can be generated with $\leq n$ elements is free of unique rank (i.e., for every $I \leq R_R, I \cong R^k$ for some $k \leq n$, and if $I \cong R^l \Rightarrow k = l$) (for alternate definitions see , [33, Theorem 1.1]).

The definition of (right) n -fir ring is left-right symmetric, thus we will call such rings simply n -firs.

Proposition 3.6. Let M be a module with endomorphism ring S is n -fir, then M is an R-endoRickart module and S^n is a Baer module. Consequently, $M_n(S)$ is a Baer ring

Proof. Since S is an n -fir, it is in particular an integral domain (see page 45, [33]), then trivially a right Rickart ring. Thus M is an R-endoRickart module. S^n is a Baer module by [19, Theorem 3.16]. Consequently, $M_n(S)$ is a Baer ring. \square

Next we study finite direct sums of copies of a finitely generated R-endoRickart module M .

Proposition 3.7. Let M be a finitely generated module with endomorphism ring S is n -fir, then M is an R-endoRickart module and a finite direct sum of copies of M is an R-endoRickart module.

Proof. We note that, for a finitely generated module M and $S = End(M)$, we have that $End(M^n) \cong End(S^n)$ as rings, where $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since S is n -fir, then M is an R-endoRickart module and S^n is a Baer module by Proposition 3.6, and so $End(S^n)$ is a Baer ring (the endomorphism ring of a Baer module is a Baer). Thus S^n is an R-endoRickart S -module by Remark 2.1, hence $End(S^n)$ is a right Rickart ring (being a Baer ring), thus $End(M^n)$ is a right Rickart ring, and M^n is an R-endoRickart. \square

4 R-endoRickart Modules Versus EndoBaer Modules

In this section, we show that if the endomorphism ring $\text{End}_R M$ of an R-endoRickart module M has no infinite set of nonzero orthogonal idempotents, then M is an endoBaer module, and obtain that every R-endoRickart module with only countably many direct summands is an endoBaer module. We also prove that a module M is R-endoRickart with the endomorphism ring $\text{End}_R M$ has the SSIP if and only if M is an endoBaer module.

Definition 4.1. An R -module M is called endoBaer if $\text{End}_R(M)$ is a Baer ring.

Remark 4.1. Any Baer module is an endoBaer, since the endomorphism ring of a Baer module is a Baer. (see [20, Theorem 4.1]).

Proposition 4.1. Let M be a (quasi-) retractable module. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) M is an endoBaer module.
- (ii) M is a Baer module.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Since M is an endoBaer module, $S = \text{End}_R(M)$ is a Baer ring, Also M is a (quasi-) retractable, thus M is a Baer module by [20, Proposition 4.6] and [19, Theorem 2.5].

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) follows from Remark 4.1. □

Remark 4.2. It is clear any endoBaer module is an R-endoRickart, since that any Baer ring is a right Rickart ring. But the converse does not hold in general.

The following examples exhibit an R-endoRickart module which is not an endoBaer module with the property that its endomorphism ring has an infinite set of nonzero orthogonal idempotents.

Example 4.1. Let $R = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}_2$ be a commutative ring, R is a von Neumann regular, and Baer. Consider $T = \{(a_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \in R \mid a_n \text{ is eventually constant}\}$, a subring of R . Then T is a right Rickart ring, while T is not a Baer ring by ([23, Example 7.54] and it has an infinite set of nonzero orthogonal idempotents, $\{\alpha_i = (a_k) \in T \mid a_k = 1 \text{ if } k = i, \text{ otherwise, } a_k = 0\}$. Consider $M = T_T$. Then M is an R-endoRickart module, which is not an endoBaer module.

Example 4.2. From example 2.2, note that R is a right hereditary ring, but R is not a Baer ring. Since R is a right Rickart ring (being right hereditary), $M = R_R$ is an R-endoRickart module, which is not an endoBaer module.

Example 4.3. ([10], Example 1.6). Let A be a field, take $A_n = A$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$ and let

$$R = \left(\begin{array}{cc} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n & \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \\ \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n & \langle \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n, 1 \rangle \end{array} \right)$$

which is a subring of the 2×2 matrix ring over the ring $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$, where $\langle \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n, 1 \rangle$ is the A -algebra generated by $\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ and 1. Then R is a von Neumann regular ring which is not a Baer ring. thus $M = R_R$ is an R-endoRickart module, which is not an endoBaer module. Denote the idempotent $e = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Then $M = eR$ is a R-endoRickart R -module by Proposition 2.2.

However, M is not an endoBaer R -module because $\text{End}_R(M) \cong \langle \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n, 1 \rangle$ is not a Baer ring (see ([18], Example 2.19)).

Example 4.4. Since that a free modules $\mathbf{Z}^{\mathbf{N}}$ and $\mathbf{Z}^{\mathbf{R}}$ are R-endoRickart \mathbf{Z} -modules ($\mathbf{Z}^{\mathbf{N}}$ and $\mathbf{Z}^{\mathbf{R}}$ are both Rickart modules, see Example 2.2.12 in [34]), then $\text{End}_{\mathbf{Z}}(\mathbf{Z}^{\mathbf{N}})$ and $\text{End}_{\mathbf{Z}}(\mathbf{Z}^{\mathbf{R}})$ are right Rickart rings. Note that $\text{End}_{\mathbf{Z}}(\mathbf{Z}^{\mathbf{N}})$ is also a Baer ring, but $\text{End}_{\mathbf{Z}}(\mathbf{Z}^{\mathbf{R}})$ is not a Baer ring. This, because $\mathbf{Z}^{\mathbf{R}}$ is retractable but is not a Baer \mathbf{Z} -module (see [19, Proposition 2.5]). Thus $\mathbf{Z}^{\mathbf{N}}$ is an endoBaer module, but $\mathbf{Z}^{\mathbf{R}}$ is not.

Proposition 4.2. Let $M = \bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i$ be a direct sum of finitely generated R-endoRickart modules M_i , where I is a countable index set over a principal ideal domain R . Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) M is a semisimple module.
- (ii) M is an R-endoRickart module.
- (iii) M is an endoBaer module.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) By Remark 2.1 (1).

(iii) \Rightarrow (ii) It is clear.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) and (iii) \Rightarrow (i) Follow from [18, Corollary 5.8]. □

Proposition 4.3. The following conditions are equivalent for a (quasi-) retractable module M :

- (i) M is an indecomposable R-endoRickart module.
- (ii) M is an endoBaer module.

Proof.(i) \Rightarrow (ii) Since M is an indecomposable R-endoRickart module, then M is a Baer module by [18, Corollary 4.6] and Proposition 2.4. Thus an endoBaer module by Remark 4.1.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) M is a Baer module by Proposition 4.1 and indecomposable Rickart module by [18, Corollary 4.6]. Thus an R-endoRickart module by Remark 2.1. □

Theorem 4.1. Let M be a right R -module, and let $S = \text{End}_R M$ have no infinite set of nonzero orthogonal idempotents. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) M is an R-endoRickart module.
- (ii) M is an endoBaer module.

Proof.(i) \Rightarrow (ii) Since M is an R-endoRickart module, R is a right Rickart ring has no infinite set of nonzero orthogonal idempotents. Thus R is a right Rickart ring if and only if R is a Baer ring by [25, Theorem 7.55].

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) It is clear. □

Proposition 4.4. Let M be a right R -module with only countably many direct summands. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) M is an R-endoRickart module.
- (ii) M is an endoBaer module.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Since M has only countably many direct summands, $\text{End}_R(M)$ has no infinite set of nonzero orthogonal idempotents. Hence M is an endoBaer module by Theorem 4.1.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) It is clear. □

Theorem 4.2. An R -module M is an R-endoRickart and $S = \text{End}_R(M)$ has the SSIP if and only if M is an endoBaer module.

Proof. Let N be any submodule of S . Since M is R-endoRickart, S is a right Rickart ring and for each $n \in N$, there exists $e_n^2 = e_n \in S$ such that $r_S(n) = e_n S$. Thus, there exists $e^2 = e \in S$ such that $r_S(N) = \bigcap_{n \in N} r_S(n) = \bigcap_{n \in N} e_n S = eS$ by the SSIP. Thus, S is a Baer ring and M is an endoBaer module. Conversely, suppose M is an endoBaer module. Hence M is an R-endoRickart module by Remark 4.2, and S is a Baer ring. Thus, S has the SSIP. □

Corollary 4.1. Let M be a retractable module and $S = \text{End}_R(M)$ has the SSIP. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) M is an R-endoRickart module.
- (ii) M is an endoBaer module.
- (iii) φ splits in M for any $\varphi \in \text{End}_R(M)$.

Proof. (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii) Follows from Theorem 4.2.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) For $\varphi \in \text{End}_R(M)$, consider the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ker}\varphi = r_M(\varphi) \rightarrow M \rightarrow \varphi M \rightarrow 0.$$

Since M is a retractable module and S is a Baer ring, M is a Baer module by [20, Proposition 4.6]. Thus M is a Rickart module and $\text{Ker}\varphi \leq^\oplus M$. So the short exact sequence splits.

(iii) \Leftrightarrow (i) φ splits in M for any $\varphi \in \text{End}_R(M)$ if and only if $\text{Ker}\varphi \leq^\oplus M$ if and only if M is a Rickart module if and only if M is an R-endoRickart module by Proposition 2.4. □

Proposition 4.5. *Let M be a (quasi-) retractable module and $S = \text{End}_R(M)$ with only two idempotents, 0 and 1. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) M is an R-endoRickart module.
- (ii) M is an endoBaer module.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Since S is a right Rickart ring with only two idempotents, 0 and 1, then S is a domain by [18, Remark 4.10]. and then M is an indecomposable R-endoRickart module by [18, Proposition 4.9] and Remark 2.1. Thus M is an endoBaer module by Proposition 4.3.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) It is clear. □

Recall that a ring R is a right (left) self injective ring if it is injective over itself as a right (left) module. If a von Neumann regular ring R is also right or left self injective, then R is Baer.

Proposition 4.6. *Let M be an R -module and $S = \text{End}_R(M)$ be any right self-injective ring. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) M is an R-endoRickart module.
- (ii) M is an endoBaer module.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Let M be an R-endoRickart module, S is a right Rickart ring. Since S is right self-injective ring, then S is a right Rickart ring if and only if it is a Baer ring by [25, Theorem 7.52]. Thus M is an endoBaer module.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) It is clear. □

Acknowledgement

This research was partially supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (11761060) and Improvement of Young Teachers Scientific Research Ability (NWNNU-LKQN-16-5).

Competing Interests

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

References

- [1] Kaplansky I. Rings of operators. W. A. Benjamin. 1968.
- [2] Hattori A. A foundation of torsion theory for modules over general rings. Nagoya Math. J. 1960;17:147-158.
- [3] Maeda S. On a ring whose principal right ideals generated by idempotents form a lattice. J. Sci. Hiroshima Univ. Ser. A. 1960;24:509-525.
- [4] Clark WE. Twisted matrix units semigroup algebras. Duke Math. J. 1967;34:417-423.

- [5] Armendariz EP. A note on extensions of Baer and P.P.-rings. J. Austral. Math. Soc. 1974;18:470-473.
- [6] Bergman GM. Hereditary commutative rings and centres of hereditary rings. Proc. London Math. Soc. 1971;23(3):214-236.
- [7] Birkenmeier GF, Heatherly HE, Kim JY, Park JK. 'Triangular matrix representations'. J. Algebra. 2000;230:558-595.
- [8] Birkenmeier GF, Kim JY, Park JK. A sheaf representation of quasi-Baer rings. J. Pure. Appl. Algebra. 2000;146:209-223.
- [9] Birkenmeier GF, Kim JY, Park JK. Polynomial extensions of Baer and quasi-Baer rings. J. Pure Appl. Algebra. 2001;159:25-42.
- [10] Birkenmeier GF, Kim JY, Park JK. Principally quasi-Baer rings. Comm. Algebra. 2000;29:638-660.
- [11] Birkenmeier GF, Park JK. Triangular matrix representations of ring extensions. J. Algebra. 2003;265:457-477.
- [12] Khairnar A, Waphare BN. Baer group rings with involution. int. etc. Algebra. 2017;22:1-10.
- [13] Khairnar A, Waphare BN. Order properties of generalized projections. Linear Multilinear Algebra. 2017;65(7):1446-1461.
- [14] Hazrat R, Vaš L. Baer and Baer *-ring characterizations of Leavitt path algebras. J. Pure Appl. Algebra. 2017;S0022-4049(17)30051-8.
- [15] Endo S. Note on p.p. rings. Nagoya Math. J. 1960;17:167-170.
- [16] Evans MW. On commutative P.P. rings. Pacific J. Math. 1972;41(3):687*697.
- [17] Jøndrup S. p.p. rings and finitely generated flat ideals. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 1971;28:431-435.
- [18] Lee G, Rizvi ST, Roman CS. Rickart modules. Comm. Algebra. 2010;38:4005-4027.
- [19] Rizvi S.T, Roman C.S. On direct sums of Baer modules. J. Algebra. 2009; 321(2):682-696.
- [20] Rizvi ST, Roman CS. Baer and quasi-Baer modules. Comm. Algebra. 2004;32:103-123.
- [21] Fuchs L. Infinite Abelian Groups, Pure and Applied Mathematics Series. Volume 36. New York-London: Academic Press; 1970.
- [22] Azumaya G. On generalized semi-primary rings and Krull-Remak-Schmidt's theorem. Japan J. Math. 1948;19:525-547.
- [23] Birkenmeier GF, Kim JY, Park JK. Quasi-Baer ring extensions and biregular rings. Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 2000;61:39-52.
- [24] Lee G, Rizvi ST, Roman CS. Modules whose endomorphism rings are von Neumann regular. Comm. Algebra. 2013;41(11):4066-4088.
- [25] Lam TY. Lectures on modules and rings GTM 189. Berlin-Heidelberg-New York. Springer Verlag; 1999.
- [26] Kaplansky I. Infinite Abelian groups. Ann Arbor: The University of Michigan Press; 1969.
- [27] Goodearl KR. Von Neumann Regular Rings. Pitman. London. 2nd edn. Krieger; 1979.
- [28] Lam TY. A first course in noncommutative rings. GTM 131. New York: Springer; 2001.
- [29] Lee G, Rizvi ST, Roman CS. Direct sums of Rickart modules. Comm. Algebra. 2012;353:62-78.
- [30] Wolfson KG. Baer rings of endomorphisms. Math. Annalen. 1961;143:19-28.
- [31] Rizvi ST, Roman CS. On K -nonsingular modules and applications. Comm. Algebra. 2007;35:2960-2982.

- [32] Wilson GV. Modules with the summand intersection property. Comm. Algebra. 1986;14:21-38.
- [33] Cohn PM. Free rings and their relations. Academic Press. London. New York; 1971.
- [34] Lee G. Theory of Rickart modules . PhD thesis. The Ohio State University; 2010.

©2019 Abdelwhab and Yang; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here (Please copy paste the total link in your browser address bar)

<http://www.sdiarticle3.com/review-history/48015>