



# Effect of Integrated Nutrient Management Practices on Physiological Growth Parameters of Perennial Fodder Crops in Custard Apple Based Horti-Pastoral System

S. Jhonsonraju <sup>a++\*</sup>, A. Krishna <sup>b#</sup>, A. Madhavalata <sup>c†</sup>  
and T. Chaitanya <sup>c‡</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Agronomy, College of Agriculture, PJTSAU, India.

<sup>b</sup> AICRP on Agroforestry, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad-500030, India.

<sup>c</sup> Agriculture Polytechnic, Malthummeda, India.

## Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

## Article Information

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.9734/jeai/2024/v46i72621>

## Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/113763>

Original Research Article

Received: 26/12/2023

Accepted: 29/02/2024

Published: 26/06/2024

## ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted to assess the impact of integrated nutrient management practices on perennial fodders within a custard apple based horti-pastoral system during the *Rabi* season of 2021 at the Agroforestry Research Block of Professor Jayashankar Telangana State Agricultural

<sup>++</sup> Msc Scholar;

<sup>#</sup> Principal Scientist & Head (Agronomy);

<sup>†</sup> Principal;

<sup>‡</sup> Scientist (SSAC);

\*Corresponding author: E-mail: [Johnsonsankati@gmail.com](mailto:Johnsonsankati@gmail.com);

**Cite as:** Jhonsonraju, S., A. Krishna, A. Madhavalata, and T. Chaitanya. 2024. "Effect of Integrated Nutrient Management Practices on Physiological Growth Parameters of Perennial Fodder Crops in Custard Apple Based Horti-Pastoral System". *Journal of Experimental Agriculture International* 46 (7):679-85. <https://doi.org/10.9734/jeai/2024/v46i72621>.

University, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad. The study featured two main plots dedicated to the fodder species *Cenchrus ciliaris* (Anjan grass) and *Megathyrsus maximus* (Guinea grass), while six nutrient management practices along with a control were implemented as sub plots in a split-plot design. Results revealed that *M. maximus* has recorded significantly higher Physiological growth parameters viz., CGR, RGR at different growth stages in first cut. Among the integrated nutrient management practices, higher CGR values were recorded at 45 DAI with the application of 75% RDN + 25% N through PGLM (13.47 g m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>) which was on par with –the application of 75% RDN + 25% N Through PM (12.78 g m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>) and RGR found non-significant. The experiment concludes that *M. maximus* found best for horti-pastoral system with PGLM or PM supplemental nutrient management.

**Keywords:** Fodder species; nutrient management practice; CGR; RGR.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

“*Cenchrus ciliaris* commonly known as Anjan grass in India, stands as a predominant pasture grass” [1]. “The *Cenchrus* species demonstrates adaptability to a wide range of soils and environments, owing to its tolerance to drought and high temperatures. It is highly palatable with substantial nutritional value (protein content of 8-10% and digestibility ranging from 60-70%) and serves as a valuable resource for various grazing animals” [2]. “*Megathyrsus maximus*, also known as guinea grass, is a perennial, tufted grass with a short, creeping rhizome. This robust grass can attain a height of up to 2 m and is compatible with horticultural crops. It thrives in wastewater and marshy lands, offering a crude protein content ranging from 8-13%” [3]. “It is recommended for regions with an annual rainfall between 800 to 1800 mm and well-drained soil of medium to high fertility” [4].

The country faces deficiencies in the availability of green and dry fodder, posing a challenge to the future development and growth of livestock [5,6]. “Low fertility often results in reduced forage yield, and nutrient management plays a pivotal role in enhancing forage productivity. Integrated nutrient management, utilizing locally available resources like organic manures and green leaf manures, proves beneficial by reducing production costs and enhancing farm system resilience through improved soil fertility in rainfed areas” [7]. “A judicious combination of organic manures and chemical fertilizers, tailored to the soil and crop characteristics, not only maximizes crop production and quality but also sustains soil fertility” [8].

“Organic amendments, such as farmyard manure, are recognized for enhancing soil physical properties. Poultry manure, rich in nitrogen and various micro-nutrients, serves as a readily available nutrient source for crops” [9].

“Pongamia green leaf, with its high content of easily mineralizable nitrogen, proves crucial for improving soil physical properties and serves as a nutrient source for low-fertility soils in dryland agriculture” [10]. Therefore, this study was aimed to assess the impact of integrated nutrient management practices on the yield and economic aspects of fodder species in a horti-pastoral system.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A field experiment titled 'Influence of Integrated Nutrient Management Practices on Perennial Fodder Species in a Custard Apple-Based Horti-Pastoral System' was conducted during the *Rabi* season of 2020-21 at the Agroforestry Research Block, Professor Jayashankar Telangana State Agricultural University, situated in Rajendranagar, Hyderabad, Telangana. The experimental site, located at 17°19' N latitude, 78°28' E longitude and at an altitude of 555 m above mean sea level, falls under the Southern Telangana agro-climatic zone. Throughout the crop growth period, which extended from October 2020 to February 2021, weekly mean maximum temperature ranged from 27.14°C to 31.64°C with an average of 29.29°C. While the weekly mean minimum temperature ranged from 11.07°C to 18.57°C with an average of 14.85°C. The total rainfall received during this period was 18.08 mm with 4 rainy days.

“The soil at the experimental site was identified as sandy loam with a pH of 6.70, EC of 0.125 dS m<sup>-1</sup> and organic carbon of 0.66%. Soil was medium in available nitrogen (247.2 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and phosphorus (30.65 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) while low in available potassium (179.1 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). The experiment was designed using a split-plot layout with three replications. The main plot treatments included two fodder species viz. Anjan grass and Guinea grass, and seven integrated nutrient

management treatments viz., T1-Control (no fertilizer and manure), T2-100% RDF (60:60:40 NPK kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), T3-75% RDN + 25% N through PGLM, T4- 75% RDN + 25% N through NGLM, T5- 75% RDN + 25% N through SGLM, T6- 75% RDN + 25% N through PM, T7 75% RDN + 25% N through FYM were accommodated in sub plots. Phosphorus and potassium were common for all treatments except the control, and organic manure was applied as basal, while nitrogen supplied in three splits after each cutting” [11]. Various physiological parameters were computed from the data obtained on the dry weight of different plant parts. Crop growth rate (g m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>) is the rate of dry matter production per unit ground area per unit time and was calculated by using the formula suggested by Watson [12].

$$CGR = \frac{W_2 - W_1}{t_2 - t_1} \times \frac{1}{A}$$

W<sub>1</sub> = Total dry weight of plant (g) at time t<sub>1</sub>,  
 W<sub>2</sub> = Total dry weight of plant (g) at time t<sub>2</sub>  
 t<sub>2</sub> - t<sub>1</sub> = time interval (days), A = Land area (m<sup>2</sup>)

Relative growth rate (g g<sup>-1</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>) is the rate of increase in dry weight per unit time and was calculated by using the formula suggested by Radford [13].

$$RGR = \frac{\text{Log}_e W_2 - \text{Log}_e W_1}{t_2 - t_1}$$

W<sub>1</sub> = Total dry weight of plant (g) at time t<sub>1</sub>,  
 W<sub>2</sub> = Total dry weight of plant (g) at time t<sub>2</sub>  
 t<sub>2</sub> - t<sub>1</sub> = time interval (days)

### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### 3.1 Crop Growth Rate

The data pertaining to the Crop Growth Rate (CGR) of different fodder species under the various nutrient management practices is presented in Table 1 and visually represented in


Fig. 1. Upon examination of the data, significant differences in CGR at 15, 30, and 45 days after initiation (DAI) were observed between the two fodder species. Guinea grass exhibited the highest CGR at 15 DAI (9.02 g m<sup>-1</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>), 30 DAI (9.46 g m<sup>-1</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>) and 45 DAI (12.26 g m<sup>-1</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>) compared to Anjan grass, which recorded CGR values of 5.39, 7.31, and 9.25 g m<sup>-1</sup> day<sup>-1</sup> at 15, 30 and 45 DAI, respectively.

Among the nutrient management practices, at 15 DAI maximum CGR was observed in T3 -75% RDN + 25% N through PGLM (8.43 g m<sup>-1</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>) followed by T6 -75% RDN + 25% N through PM (8.41 g m<sup>-1</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>), T2 -100% RDF (60:60:40) NPK kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (7.63 g m<sup>-1</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>) and T7 -75% RDN + 25% N through FYM (7.49 g m<sup>-1</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>) which was significantly CGR values than other nutrient treatments and control (5.06 g m<sup>-1</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>). Similar trend was also followed at 30 DAI and maximum CGR was recorded in T3 -75% RDN + 25% N through PGLM (10.0 g m<sup>-1</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>) followed by T6 -75% RDN + 25% N through PM (9.98 g m<sup>-1</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>), T7 -75% RDN + 25% N through FYM (9.40 g m<sup>-1</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>) and T2 -100% RDF (60:60:40) NPK kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (9.35 g m<sup>-1</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>). At 45 DAI, significantly higher CGR was observed in T3 -75% RDN + 25% N through PGLM (13.47 g m<sup>-1</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>) which was on par with T6 -75% RDN + 25% N through PM (12.78 g m<sup>-1</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>) and significantly higher with each other nutrient treatments and control.

The integration of organic and inorganic nutrition, specifically through PGLM, PM, and FYM sources, resulted in favorable crop growth rates comparable to those recorded with 100% RDF. Increased plant density was found to significantly enhance CGR [14], with some researchers attributing the impact of crop growth rate to the plants' photosynthetic area [15]. The interaction effect between the type of fodder species and nutrient management practices on crop growth rate was found to be non-significant at all stages.

**Table 1. Crop Growth Rate (g m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>) of different fodder species as influenced by integrated nutrient management in horti-pastoral system**

Particulars	15 DAI	30 DAI	45 DAI (1 <sup>st</sup> cut)
<b>Fodder species</b>			
1. <i>Cenchrus ciliaris</i> (Anjan grass)	5.39	7.31	9.25
2. <i>Megathyrsus maximus</i> (Guinea grass)	9.03	9.46	12.26
S.Em +	0.13	0.09	0.09
CD(P=0.05)	0.78	0.52	0.59
<b>Nutrient management</b>			
T1 -Control (No fertiliser)	5.06	6.32	8.50
T2 -100% RDF (60:60:40) N-P-K kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	7.63	9.35	11.61
T3 -75% RDN + 25% N Through PGLM	8.43	10.00	13.47
T4 -75% RDN + 25% N Through NGLM	6.59	6.56	9.06
T5 -75% RDN + 25% N Through SGLM	6.86	7.11	9.85
T6 -75% RDN + 25% N Through PM	8.41	9.98	12.78
T7 -75% RDN + 25% N Through FYM	7.49	9.40	10.01
Mean	7.20	8.38	10.75
S.Em +	0.43	0.43	0.41
CD(P=0.05)	1.27	1.26	1.20
<b>Interaction (Main × Sub)</b>			
S.Em +	0.61	0.61	0.58
CD(P=0.05)	NS	NS	NS
<b>Interaction (Sub × Main)</b>			
S.Em +	0.58	0.57	0.54
CD(P=0.05)	NS	NS	NS



**Fig. 1. Crop Growth Rate (g m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>) of different fodder species as influenced by integrated nutrient management in horti-pastoral system**

### 3.2 Relative Growth Rate

Data pertaining to relative growth rate (RGR) of different fodder species as influenced by the different nutrient management practices is illustrated in Table 2. Among the two different types of fodder species, significantly higher RGR (g g<sup>-1</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>) recorded in guinea grass at 15 DAI

(0.142), 30 DAI (0.022) and 45 DAI (0.017) over anjan grass. The ecological advantage of a high RGR is evident as it allows the plant to rapidly increase in size, enabling it to occupy a large space both below and above the ground. Additionally, a high RGR may facilitate the rapid completion of the plant's life cycle [14-26].

**Table 2. Relative Growth Rate (g g<sup>-1</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>) of different fodder species as influenced by integrated nutrient management in horti-pastoral system**

Particulars	15 DAI	30 DAI	45 DAI (1 <sup>st</sup> cut)
<b>Fodder species</b>			
1. <i>Cenchrus ciliaris</i> (Anjan grass)	0.127	0.017	0.017
2. <i>Megathyrsus maximus</i> (Guinea grass)	0.142	0.022	0.017
S.Em ±	0.001	0.001	0.001
CD(P=0.05)	0.006	0.003	0.003
<b>(Nutrient management)</b>			
T1 -Control (No fertiliser)	0.124	0.016	0.020
T2 -100% RDF (60:60:40) N-P-K kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	0.136	0.020	0.018
T3 -75% RDN + 25% N Through PGLM	0.139	0.020	0.017
T4 -75% RDN + 25% N Through NGLM	0.132	0.020	0.018
T5 -75% RDN + 25% N Through SGLM	0.133	0.021	0.017
T6 -75% RDN + 25% N Through PM	0.139	0.021	0.016
T7 -75% RDN + 25% N Through FYM	0.136	0.020	0.015
Mean	0.134	0.0199	0.0172
S.Em ±	0.004	0.001	0.001
CD(P=0.05)	NS	NS	NS
<b>Interaction (Main × Sub)</b>			
S.Em ±	0.006	0.002	0.001
CD(P=0.05)	NS	NS	NS
<b>Interaction (Sub × Main)</b>			
S.Em ±	0.005	0.001	0.001
CD(P=0.05)	NS	NS	NS

Regarding nutrient management practices, the RGR at 15, 30 and 45 DAI was found non-significant at all three stages. This might be due to similar growth rate of two forages under specific nutrient management treatments. All the sources are better in influencing RGR. The interaction effect between the type of fodder and nutrient management practices was also found to be non-significant [16-19].

#### 4. CONCLUSION

Based on the findings, it can be concluded that guinea grass with the application of 75% RDN + 25% N through PGLM recorded higher CGR and RGR which was on par with 100% RDF (60:60:40) N-P-K kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, 75% RDN + 25% N through PM and 75% RDN + 25% N Through FYM. This resulted in increased yields, including total green and dry fodder, as well as favorable economic returns. The study underscores the significance of incorporating organic or green leaf manures in conjunction with chemical fertilizers for sustainable development.

#### DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I extend my heartfelt gratitude to the Almighty God, Dr. A. Krishna (Chairman), Principal Scientist & Head (Agronomy), AICRP on Agroforestry, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad, and the committee members, namely Dr. A. Madhavalata (Agronomy), Principal, Agriculture Polytechnic, Malthummeda, and Dr. T. Chaitanaya, Scientist (SSAC), AICRP on Agroforestry, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad-500030, for their continuous support, guidance, and motivation throughout the course of the experiment.

#### COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

## REFERENCES

1. Dabadghao PM, Shakarnarayan KA. The grass cover of India. (Indian council of Agricultural Research: New Delhi). 1973;714.
2. Sawal RK, Ratan R, Chander S. Nutritive evaluation of *Lasiurus indicus* and *Cenchrus ciliaris* hays in sheep. Indian Journal of Small Ruminants. 2009;15(2): 277–80.
3. Prakash kumar Rathod, Sreenath Dixit. Green fodder production: A manual for field functionaries. Patancheru 502 324, Telangana, India: International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics. 2019;56
4. Muir P James, Liana Jank. Guinea grass. Warm season (C4) grasses. 2004;589-621.
5. Hembram JADUNATH, Kundu CK. Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth, yield and quality of forage cropping sequence. Journal of Agricultural Science and Research. 2016;3(1):17-22.
6. Jhonsonraju S, Krishna A, Madhavilata A, Chaitanya T, Sekhar CC. Influence of integrated nutrient management practices on yield and economics of fodders in custard apple based horti-pastoral system. Range Management and Agroforestry. 2023;44(1):198-203.
7. Ravi R, Divya MP, Ratha Krishnan. Evaluation of fodder crops under *Ailanthus excelsa* roxb. Based agroforestry system. Indian Journal of Agroforestry. 2009;11(2): 90-93.
8. Touseef M. Exploring the Complex underground social networks between Plants and Mycorrhizal Fungi known as the Wood Wide Web. Plant Science Archives. 2023;08(01):5.
9. Sultana N, Saini PK, Kiran SR, Kanaka S. Exploring the antioxidant potential of medicinal plant species: A comprehensive review. Journal of Plant Biota; 2023.
10. Madhavi Lata A, Srinivasa Raju M, Joseph B, Chandrasekhara Rao P, Siva Sankar A. Integrated nutrient management in Ashwagandha (*Withania somnifera* L.) under tree based cropping systems in drylands. Indian Journal of Agroforestry. 2014;16(1):30-36.
11. Kushwah N, Billore V, Sharma OP, Singh D, Chauhan APS. Integrated Nutrient management for optimal plant health and crop yield. Plant Science Archives; 2024.
12. Pratap R, Sharma OP, Yadav GL. Effect of integrated nutrient management under varying levels of zinc on pearl millet yield. Annals of Arid Zone. 2008;47(2):197-199.
13. Ranveer Singh, Ram T, Choudhary GL, Gupta AK. Effect of integrated nitrogen management on nutrient uptake, quality, economics and soil fertility of pearl millet under rainfed conditions. Elixir Agriculture. 2013;54:12373-12375.
14. Jhonsonraju S, Krishna A, Madhavilata A, Chaitanya T. Growth parameters of perennial fodder species as influenced by integrated nutrient management practices in custard apple based Horti-pastoral system. The Pharma Innovation. 2021; 10(09):1700-6.
15. Watson DJ. The physiological basis of variation in yield. In Advances in Agronomy. 1952;4:101-145.
16. Radford PJ. Growth analysis formulae-their use and abuse 1. Crop Science. 1967;7(3):171-175.
17. Ahmadi B, Shirani Rad AM, Delkosh B. Evaluation of plant densities on analysis of growth indices in two canola forage (*Brassica napus* L.). European J Exp Biol. 2014;4(2):286-294.
18. Habibzadeh YR, Mamghani A. Kashani. Iranian J. Crop. Sci. 2006;8:66-78
19. Karforma J, Ghosh M, Ghosh DC, Mandal S, Ghosh PK. Effect of integrated management on soil fertility and productivity in maize. Bulletin of Environment, Pharmacology and Life Sciences. 2016;2(8):61-67.
20. Fatima S, Rasool A, Sajjad N, Bhat EA, Hanafiah MM, Mahboob M. Analysis and evaluation of penicillin production by using soil fungi. Biocatalysis and Agricultural Biotechnology. 2019;21: 101330. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bcab.2019.101330>
21. Ogori AF, Eke MO, Girgih TA, Abu JO. Influence of aduwa (*Balanites aegyptiaca*. del) meal protein enrichment on the proximate, Phytochemical, Functional and Sensory Properties of Ogi. Acta Botanica Plantae. 2022;1(3):22-35.
22. Alemu TT. Effect of storage time and room temperature on physicochemical and geometric properties of banana (*Musa* Spp.) Fruit. Journal of Plant Biota. 2023; 30-40.

23. Patel PR, Patel BJ, Vyas KG, Yadav BL. Effect of integrated nitrogen management and bio-fertilizer in kharif pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum* L.). Adv. Res. J. Crop Improv. 2014;5(2):122-125.
24. Nazneen S, Sultana S. Green Synthesis and Characterization of Cissus quadrangularis. L stem mediated Zinc Oxide Nanoparticles. Plant Science Archives. 2024;1 (05).
25. Pallavi Ch, Joseph B, Aariff Khan MA, Hemalatha S. Yield, nutrient content, uptake, and available nutrient status of finger millet as influenced by nutrient management in agri-silvi sytem. International Journal of Current Research. 2015;7(11):22311-22314.
26. Reddy CA, Oraon S, Bharti SD, Yadav AK, Hazarika S. Advancing disease management in agriculture: A review of plant pathology techniques. Plant Science Archives; 2024.

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

*Peer-review history:*

*The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:*

<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/113763>