

Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology

Volume 27, Issue 6, Page 403-414, 2024; Article no.JABB.117238 ISSN: 2394-1081

Effect of Foliar Spray of NPK, Borax, ZnSO₄ & MgSO₄ on Storage of Strawberry (*Fragaria annanassa* Duch.) cv. Winter Dawn

Divyansh Mishra ^{a*}, Sanjay Pathak ^a, Sujeet Kumar Patel ^a, Vipin Kumar ^a, Abhishek Pratap Singh ^a and Avdhesh Kumar ^a

^a Department of Fruit Science, College of Horticulture and Forestry, Acharya Narendra Deva University of Agriculture & Technology, Kumarganj, Ayodhya (Uttar Pradesh) 224229, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: https://doi.org/10.9734/jabb/2024/v27i6899

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/117238

Original Research Article

Received: 13/03/2024 Accepted: 15/05/2024 Published: 15/05/2024

ABSTRACT

This study identified the synergistic effect of NPK, Borax, ZnSO₄ and MgSO₄ with different concentrations on the postharvest quality and shelf life of strawberry stored at ambient conditions. This study was conducted in completely randomized Block design. Strawberry fruits were treated with different concentration of NPK, Borax, ZnSO₄ and MgSO₄ and stored at ambient temperature for 4 days. Changes in fruit's physiological loss of weight, firmness, total soluble solid, titrable acidity, and ascorbic acid were periodically recorded. The results indicated that the treatment T8

^{*}Corresponding author: E-mail: divyansh.mishra1993@gmail.com;

Cite as: Mishra, D., Pathak, S., Patel, S. K., Kumar, V., Singh, A. P., & Kumar, A. (2024). Effect of Foliar Spray of NPK, Borax, ZnSO4 & MgSO4 on Storage of Strawberry (Fragaria annanassa Duch.) cv. Winter Dawn. Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology, 27(6), 403–414. https://doi.org/10.9734/jabb/2024/v27i6899

(NPK @0.5% + Borax @0.25% + Znso₄ @0.25% + Mgso₄ @0.25%) significantly reduced the decrease of physiological loss of weight, total soluble solids, titrable acidity, ascorbic acid, reducing sugar, non- reducing sugar and total sugar content. The results showed that treatment T8 (NPK @0.5% + Borax @0.25% + Znso₄ @0.25% + Mgso₄ @0.25%) is the most effective treatment for improving the postharvest quality and prolong the shelf life of strawberry when stored at ambient condition.

Keywords: Strawberry; NPK; Znso4; Mgso4; ambient condition.

1. INTRODUCTION

The strawberry (Fragaria ananassa), is a member of the Rosaceae family within the Fragaria genus [1]. Its basic chromosomal number is (x=7), making it an octoploid (8x) in nature. The domestic strawberry (Fragaria x ananassa Duch.) was first produced in France during the 17th century from the hybridization of two American species, F. chillioensis Duch. and F. verginiana Duch. [2]. According to botany, it is an aggregation fruit that naturally perishes quickly. It is essentially a short-day, herbaceous perennial plant. The strawberry offers the fastest return in the least amount of time when compared to all other berries [3]. It is an important fruit crop whose cultivation has ample scope near the big cities and fruit preservation factories. Considerable variation exists in various cultivars which can be exploited for the benefit of fruit growers in subtropical/temperate areas. Among the most significant soft fruits. strawberries (Fragaria x ananassa Duch.) are grown for commercial purposes in the following states: Maharashtra, Haryana, Punjab, Uttar Pradesh, Himachal Pradesh, Uttarakhand. Jammu and Kashmir, Meghalaya, and West Bengal [4]. The genus Fragaria includes 17 diploid species triploid, hexaploid and octoploid in nature. Asia's second-largest economy is that of India in terms of its GDP or gross domestic product. The GDP of the agriculture sector is significantly influenced by the horticulture sector. India's agricultural exports, both domestically and internationally, are heavily reliant on horticultural commodities and products. India's most important fruit is the strawberry, a food product of horticulture. Because it has shallow roots, it needs fertilizers more frequently to support healthy plant development and fruit production [5]. Goswami et al., [6] reported that application of ZnSO₄ at the rate of 0.04% significantly reduced the physiological loss in weight and increase the shelf life of guava. Kharwade et al., [7] observed that the application of NPK (19:19:19) with ZnSO4 @0.5% and Boric acid @0.3% significantly increase the shelf life and

reduce the physiological loss in weight of guava under storage condition. Kumar et al., [8] observed that the Borax @0.25% with GA3 significantly increased the shelf life, minimum physiological losses, Total soluble solids, Titrable acidity, total sugar and ascorbic acid during storage in guava. Hence the present experiment entitled on "Effect of foliar spray of NPK, Borax, and ZnSO4 & MgSO4 on storage of Strawberry (*Fragaria ananassa* Duch.) cv. Winter Dawn".

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present experiment entitled that "Effect of foliar spray of NPK, Borax, and ZnSO4& MgSO4 on storage of Strawberry (Fragaria ananassa Duch.) cv. Winter Dawn was carried out during the period 2022-23 to 2023-24 at Main Experimental Station of Fruit Science orchard and 27-27 fruits of each treatment (9 treatment) and each replication (three replications) was bring from the orchard for analysis of each parameters was done in the PG laboratory of fruit Science, College of Horticulture and Forestry, A.N.D.U.A. & T., Kumarganj, Ayodhya 224229 (UP) ambient temperature (28-32°C at temperature and 70-75% Relative humidity). NPK, Borax, ZnSO₄& MgSO₄ were applied as foliar spray during the evening time by Randomized Block Design.

To determine the weight loss of the fruit during post-harvest storage, both treated and control fruit were weighted at different sampling intervals of 1, 2, 3 and 4 days after harvesting of the fruit. Then weight loss was calculated as the difference between initial fruit weight and the fruit weight at the time of measurement and expressed in percentage.

PLW (%) = Initial fruit weight-weight of fruit on observation day / initial fruit weight x = 100

The total soluble solids (TSS) of dragon fruit were directly measured with the help of Hand Refractometer (range 0-32°Brix at 20°C). A drop of juice was used to measure the TSS and values were expressed in Brix for each treatment.

For Titrable acidity measurement Standard N/10 NaOH solution and phenolphthalein as an indicator were used to determine the titrable acidity of strawberry fruit juice until faint pink colour appeared [9].

Ascorbic acid content of the fruits was measured by volumetric method using 2, 6- dichlorophenol indophenols dye according to the procedure suggested by Rangana [10] and expressed in mg/100g pulp.

Vitamin -C (mg/100g) = Titre value × Dye factor × Volume made up / Weight of aliquaot taken × weight of the sample taken

The reducing sugars were estimated by Shaffer Somoovi method as described by Rangana [10] and expressed in per cent. To determine the reducing sugar, 10g of fruit was macerated with small amount of distilled water, filtered in 100 ml of volumetric flask and volume was maintained with distilled water. 5 ml sample was taken with 5 ml each of Fehling Solution 'A' and 5 ml Fehling Solution 'B' in 100 ml conical flask and titrated the solution 1% glucose while boiling against and checked by methylene blue indicator. The end point was marked by the appearance of brick red color.

Reducing sugar % = (Blank titre value - sample titre value) × volume made up / weight of aliquot taken × weight of sample taken x 100

The content of non- reducing sugar in dragon fruit samples was calculated using the following formula [11].

Non-reducing sugars (%) = Total invert sugar sugars (%)-Reducing sugar (%) x 0.95

Total sugars (%): The sum of reducing and nonreducing sugars was expressed as total sugars.

Total sugars (%) = Reducing sugar (%) + non-reducing sugars (%)

2.1 Statistical Analysis

The data noted from each replication of each treatment from the experiment were analyzed by SAS 9.1 statistical software.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Physiological Loss in Weight (%)

A perusal data of Table no. 1 revealed that physiological loss in weight increased with the advancement of day of the storage during both the years (2022-23 and 2023-24). Minimum physiological loss of weight (0.62%) was observed in treatment T8 (NPK @0.5% + Borax @0.25% + ZnSO₄ @0.25%+ MgSO₄ @0.25%) which was statistically at par with T7 (NPK 0.5% + Borax 0.25%+ ZnSO4 0.25%) 0.65%, on the 1st day of storage. However, maximum physiological loss of weight (8.80%) was observed in treatment T9 (control) followed by the T1 (NPK @0.5%) 8.66% on 4th day of storage during the year 2022-23. Similarly, during 2023-24 minimum physiological loss of weight (0.63%) was observed in treatment T8 (NPK @0.5% + Borax @0.25% + ZnSO₄ @0.25% + MgSO₄ @0.25%) which was significantly at par with T7 (NPK 0.5% + Borax 0.25%+ ZnSO₄ 0.25%) 0.64%, on the 1st day of storage. However, maximum physiological loss of weight (3.87%) was observed in treatment T9 (control) which was significantly at par with T1 (NPK @0.5%) 8.68% on 4th day of storage during the year 2023-24. Tripathi et. al., [12] reported that NPK significantly reduced the physiological loss of weight. Goswami et al., [6] observed that application of ZnSO₄ significantly reduced the physiological loss in weight.

3.2 Changes in Titrable Acidity (%)

A perusal data of Table No.3 revealed that titrable acidity increased with the advancement of day of the storage during both the years (2022-23 and 2023-24). Minimum titrable acidity (0.64%) was observed in treatment T8 (NPK @0.5% + Borax @0.25% + ZnSO₄ @0.25%+ MgSO₄ @0.25%) followed by the T7 (NPK 0.5% + Borax 0.25%+ ZnSO₄ 0.25%) 0.68%, on the 1st day of storage. However, maximum titrable acidity (8.87%) was observed in treatment T9 (control) on 4th day of storage during the year 2022-23. Similarly, during 2023-24 minimum titrable acidity (0.63%) was observed in treatment T8 (NPK @0.5% + Borax @0.25% + ZnSO₄ @0.25%+ MgSO₄ @0.25%) followed by the T7 (NPK 0.5% + Borax 0.25%+ ZnSO₄ 0.25%) 0.69%, on the 1st day of storage. However, maximum titrable acidity (8.86%) was observed in treatment T9 (control) which was significantly at par with T1 (NPK @0.5%) 0.84% on 4th day of storage during the year 2023-24. Kumar et al., [8] observed that the Borax reduce the losses of titrable acidity during storage.

Treatment			2022-23			2023-24					
	1 st Day	2 nd Day	3 rd Day	4 th Day	Mean	1 st Day	2 nd Day	3 rd Day	4 th Day	Mean	
T1-NPK @0.5%	0.72ab	1.77a	3.93a	8.66a	3.77	0.74ab	1.79a	3.95ab	8.68ab	3.79	
T-2 NPK @1.0%	0.71b	1.69b	3.72b	8.27b	3.59	0.72bc	1.71b	3.74bc	8.29bc	3.61	
T-3 NPK @1.5%	0.65cd	1.54c	3.41cd	7.50e	3.27	0.64e	1.52c	3.40ef	7.50e	3.26	
T-4 NPK @1.0% +Borax @0.25%	0.66c	1.57c	3.45cd	7.67de	3.33	0.69d	1.59c	3.48def	7.69de	3.36	
T-5 NPK @1.0% +Znso₄ @0.25%	0.67c	1.65b	3.56cb	7.92cd	3.45	0.69cd	1.67b	3.59cde	7.94cd	3.47	
T-6 NPK @1.0% + Mgso₄ @0.25%	0.72ab	1.68b	3.64b	8.05bc	3.52	0.72bc	1.69b	3.66cd	8.07cd	3.53	
T-7 NPK @0.5% + Borax @0.25%+Znso4	0.65cd	1.53c	3.37d	7.49e	3.26	0.64e	1.52c	3.35f	7.48e	3.24	
@0.25%											
T-8 NPK @0.5% + Borax @0.25% + Znso4	0.62d	1.51c	3.33d	7.47e	3.23	0.63e	1.52c	3.34f	7.48e	3.24	
@0.25%+ Mgso₄ @0.25%											
T-9 (Control)	0.75a	1.80a	4.03a	8.80a	3.84	0.77a	1.81a	4.07a	8.83a	3.87	
Mean	0.68	1.63	3.60	7.98		0.69	1.64	3.62	7.99		
LSD (0.05%)	0.034	0.07	0.18	0.33		0.03	0.08	0.21	0.42		

Table 1. Effect of foliar spray of NPK, Borax, ZnSO4 and MgSO4 on physiological loos of weight of Strawberry (*Fragaria ananassa* Duch.) cv. Winter Dawn during storage

Treatment			2022-23			2023-24					
	1 st Day	2 nd Day	3 rd Day	4 th Day	Mean	1 st Day	2 nd Day	3 rd Day	4 th Day	Mean	
T1-NPK @0.5%	7.90bc	8.27de	8.50cd	5.53de	7.55	7.92cd	8.34cd	8.54cd	5.56c	7.59	
T-2 NPK @1.0%	8.38ab	8.73cd	8.93bc	5.97cde	8.00	8.39bc	8.80bc	9.02bc	6.07bc	8.07	
T-3 NPK @1.5%	8.80a	9.13bc	9.33ab	6.36abc	8.40	8.76ab	9.13b	9.28b	6.40b	8.39	
T-4 NPK @1.0% +Borax @0.25%	8.68a	8.99bc	9.19abc	6.22bc	8.22	8.72b	9.00b	9.27b	6.31b	8.32	
T-5 NPK @1.0% +Znso4 @0.25%	8.52ab	8.83bc	9.02bc	6.08bcd	8.11	8.60bc	8.90b	9.10bc	6.16b	8.19	
T-6 NPK @1.0% + Mgso₄ @0.25%	8.73a	9.05bc	9.22abc	6.32bc	8.33	8.80ab	9.10b	9.20bc	6.29b	8.34	
T-7 NPK @0.5% + Borax @0.25%+Znso4 @0.25%	8.96a	9.27ab	9.46ab	663ab	8.58	8.90ab	9.24ab	9.40ab	6.60ab	8.53	
T-8 NPK @0.5% + Borax @0.25% + Znso4	9.46a	9.62a	9.96a	7.00a	9.01	9.47a	9.65a	10.00a	7.04a	9.04	
@0.25%+ Mgso4 @0.25%											
T-9 (Control)	7.58c	7.93e	8.11d	5.41e	7.25	7.60d	8.00d	8.18d	5.49c	7.31	
Mean	8.55	8.86	9.08	6.17		8.57	8.90	9.11	6.21		
LSD (0.05%)	0.75	0.48	0.79	0.65		0.73	0.45	0.71	058		

Table 2. Effect of foliar spray of NPK, Borax, ZnSO4 and MgSO4 on total soluble solids of Strawberry (*Fragaria ananassa* Duch.) cv. Winter Dawn during storage

Treatment			2022-23			2023-24					
	1 st Day	2 nd Day	3 rd Day	4 th Day	Mean	1 st Day	2 nd Day	3 rd Day	4 th Day	Mean	
T1-NPK @0.5%	0.76b	0.79ab	0.80ab	0.83ab	0.79	0.78ab	0.80ab	0.81a	0.84ab	0.80	
T-2 NPK @1.0%	0.73bc	0.78b	0.76bc	0.83b	0.77	0.73c	0.77bc	0.75bc	0.82bc	0.76	
T-3 NPK @1.5%	0.72bc	0.76bc	0.74cd	0.79c	0.75	0.73c	0.75cd	0.73cd	0.80cd	0.75	
T-4 NPK @1.0% +Borax @0.25%	0.74bc	0.78b	0.76bc	0.81bc	0.77	0.73c	0.79ab	0.75bc	0.82abc	0.77	
T-5 NPK @1.0% +Znso₄ @0.25%	0.75b	0.79ab	0.77abc	0.82bc	0.78	0.74c	0.78bc	0.77b	0.80bc	0.77	
T-6 NPK @1.0% + Mgso₄ @0.25%	0.75bc	0.79ab	0.76bc	0.83b	0.78	0.75bc	0.79ab	0.75bc	0.82bc	0.77	
T-7 NPK @0.5% + Borax @0.25%+Znso4 @0.25%	0.68d	0.72cd	0.71de	0.78cd	0.72	0.69d	0.73d	0.72de	0.79de	0.73	
T-8 NPK @0.5% + Borax @0.25% + Znso4 @0.25%+ Maso4 @0.25%	0.64e	0.70d	0.69e	0.75d	0.69	0.63d	0.69e	0.68e	0.74e	0.68	
T-9 (Control)	0.80a	0.83a	0.81a	0.87a	0.82	0.80a	0.82a	0.81a	0.86a	0.82	
Mean	0.73	0.77	0.75	0.81		0.73	0.77	0.75	0.81		
LSD (0.05%)	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.03		0.03	0.03	0.02	0.03		

Table 3. Effect of foliar spray of NPK, Borax, ZnSO4 and MgSO4 on titrable acidity of Strawberry (*Fragaria ananassa* Duch.) cv. Winter Dawn during storage

Treatment	2022-23					2023-24				
	1 st Day	2 nd Day	3 rd Day	4 th Day	Mean	1 st Day	2 nd Day	3 rd Day	4 th Day	Mean
T1-NPK @0.5%	53.14de	51.68c	50.12c	48.11de	50.76	53.17d	51.69c	50.12cd	48.12de	50.77
T-2 NPK @1.0%	53.64de	52.18c	50.61c	48.58de	51.25	53.65d	52.18c	50.64cd	48.58de	51.26
T-3 NPK @1.5%	56.20abc	54.71ab	53.09ab	50.37abc	53.59	56.18abc	54.71ab	53.04ab	50.36abc	53.57
T-4 NPK @1.0% +Borax @0.25%	54.34cde	52.94bc	51.38bc	48.97cd	51.90	54.37cd	52.94bc	51.38bcd	48.98cd	51.91
T-5 NPK @1.0% +Znso4 @0.25%	54.78bcd	53.38abc	51.82abc	49.40abcd	52.34	54.81abcd	53.39abc	51.82abc	49.45abcd	52.36
T-6 NPK @1.0% + Mgso4 @0.25%	54.53cde	53.07bc	51.50bc	49.07bcd	52.04	54.55cd	53.10bc	51.54bcd	49.14bcd	52.08
T-7 NPK @0.5% + Borax	56.51ab	55.02ab	53.44ab	50.60ab	53.89	56.48ab	55.00ab	53.30ab	50.56ab	53.83
@0.25%+Znso4 @0.25%										
T-8 NPK @0.5% + Borax @0.25%	56.84a	55.35a	53.75a	50.78a	54.18	56.85a	55.38a	53.76a	50.79a	54.19
+ Znso4 @0.25%+ Mgso4 @0.25%										
T-9 (Control)	52.84e	51.37c	49.77c	47.27e	50.31	52.85d	51.39c	49.48d	47.29e	50.25
Mean	54.75	53.30	51.72	49.24		54.77	53.31	51.67	49.25	
LSD (0.05%)	1.88	2.16	2.19	1.62		2.06	2.06	2.11	1.51	

Table 4. Effect of foliar spray of NPK, Borax, ZnSO₄ and MgSO₄ on ascorbic acid of Strawberry (*Fragaria ananassa* Duch.) cv. Winter Dawn during storage

Treatment			2022-23			2023-24					
	1 st Day	2 nd Day	3 rd Day	4 th Day	Mean	1 st Day	2 nd Day	3 rd Day	4 th Day	Mean	
T1- NPK @0.5%	3.78ef	4.02de	3.91ef	3.54d	3.81	3.84ef	4.06de	3.94ef	3.58ef	3.85	
T-2 NPK @1.0%	3.90de	4.12cd	4.01de	3.64cd	3.91	3.94de	4.12cde	4.03de	3.66de	3.93	
T-3 NPK @1.5%	4.20abc	4.41ab	4.31abc	3.93ab	4.21	4.20abc	4.40ab	4.29abc	3.90bc	4.19	
T-4 NPK @1.0% +Borax @0.25%	4.14bc	4.36abc	4.25bc	3.85b	4.15	4.17bc	4.36abc	4.25abc	3.86bcd	4.16	
T-5 NPK @1.0% +Znso₄ @0.25%	4.06cd	4.28bc	4.17cd	3.79bc	4.07	4.11bcd	4.27bcd	4.18cd	3.81cde	4.09	
T-6 NPK @1.0% + Mgso₄ @0.25%	4.03cd	4.23bcd	4.14cd	3.75bc	4.03	4.04cde	4.25bcd	4.15cde	3.76cde	4.05	
T-7 NPK @0.5% + Borax @0.25%+Znso4	4.33ab	4.56a	4.41ab	4.04a	4.33	4.32ab	4.57a	4.43ab	4.05ab	4.34	
@0.25%											
T-8 NPK @0.5% + Borax @0.25% + Znso4	4.38a	4.59a	4.48a	4.09a	4.38	4.40a	4.61a	4.51a	4.16a	4.42	
@0.25%+ Mgso₄ @0.25%											
T-9 (Control)	3.63f	3.84e	3.72f	3.35e	3.63	3.66f	3.87e	3.76f	3.36f	3.66	
Mean	4.05	4.26	4.15	3.77		4.07	4.27	4.17	3.79		
LSD (0.05%)	0.21	0.24	0.19	0.18		0.22	0.26	0.23	0.23		

Table 5. Effect of foliar spray of NPK, Borax, ZnSO4 and MgSO4 on reducing sugar of Strawberry (*Fragaria ananassa* Duch.) cv. Winter Dawn during storage

Treatment	2022-23							2023-24			
	1 st Day	2 nd Day	3 rd Day	4 th Day	Mean	1 st Day	2 nd Day	3 rd Day	4 th Day	Mean	
T1- NPK @0.5%	2.53de	2.68de	2.61ef	2.37f	2.54	2.56ef	2.72e	2.63de	2.40e	2.57	
T-2 NPK @1.0%	2.60cd	2.76cd	2.64de	2.43ef	2.60	2.63de	2.75de	2.70cd	2.44de	2.63	
T-3 NPK @1.5%	2.81ab	2.95ab	2.88abc	2.62bc	2.81	2.80abc	3.00ab	2.90ab	2.67ab	2.84	
T-4 NPK @1.0% +Borax @0.25%	2.76abc	2.91abc	2.84bc	2.57cd	2.77	2.79bc	2.91bc	2.84b	2.62abc	2.79	
T-5 NPK @1.0% +Znso4 @0.25%	2.72bc	2.85bcd	2.78cd	2.53cde	2.72	2.74bcd	2.85cd	2.80bc	2.54bcd	2.73	
T-6 NPK @1.0% + Mgso₄ @0.25%	2.69bcd	2.84bcd	2.76cd	2.51de	2.70	271cd	2.84cd	2.78bc	2.53cde	2.71	
T-7 NPK @0.5% + Borax @0.25%+ZnSO4	2.89a	3.04a	2.94ab	2.70ab	2.89	2.88ab	3.05a	2.98a	2.70a	2.90	
@0.25%											
T-8 NPK @0.5% + Borax @0.25% + ZnSO4	2.92a	3.06a	3.00a	2.73a	2.92	2.93a	3.08a	3.01a	2.72a	2.93	
@0.25%+ MgSO4 @0.25%											
T-9 (Control)	2.43e	2.57e	2.50f	2.24g	2.43	2.44f	2.58f	2.51e	2.25f	2.44	
Mean	2.70	2.85	2.77	2.52		2.72	2.86	2.79	2.54		
LSD (0.05%)	0.16	0.16	0.13	0.11		0.14	0.11	0.12			

Table 6. Effect of foliar spray of NPK, Borax, ZnSO₄ and MgSO₄ on non-reducing sugar of Strawberry (*Fragaria ananassa* Duch.) cv. Winter Dawn during storage

Treatment	2022-23 2023-24							2023-24		
	1 st Day	2 nd Day	3 rd Day	4 th Day	Mean	1 st Day	2 nd Day	3 rd Day	4 th Day	Mean
T1-NPK @0.5%	6.21cd	6.61cd	6.80cd	4.49cd	6.02	6.22cd	6.71cd	6.85cd	4.53cd	5.94
T-2 NPK @1.0%	6.71bcd	7.09bc	7.28bc	4.95bcd	6.50	6.73bc	7.18bc	7.38bc	5.05bcd	6.58
T-3 NPK @1.5%	7.15ab	7.52ab	7.70ab	5.37ab	6.93	7.11ab	7.48abc	7.67ab	5.40ab	6.91
T-4 NPK @1.0% +Borax @0.25%	7.01abc	7.36abc	7.54bc	5.20bc	6.77	7.04b	7.37abc	7.63abc	5.28ab	6.83
T-5 NPK @1.0% +ZnSO4 @0.25%	6.84bc	7.02bcd	7.36bc	5.06bcd	6.57	6.99bc	7.27bc	7.44bc	5.14bc	6.71
T-6 NPK @1.0% + Mg SO4 @0.25%	7.05abc	7.41abc	7.58abc	5.29ab	6.83	7.08b	7.46abc	7.56bc	5.27ab	6.34
T-7 NPK @0.5% + Borax @0.25%+Zn SO4	7.35ab	7.70ab	7.86ab	5.65ab	7.14	7.28ab	7.66ab	7.79ab	5.63ab	7.09
	7.07	0.00	0.07	0.04	7 50	7.00	0.44	0.40	5.00	
1-8 NPK @0.5% + Borax @0.25% + ZpSO4@0.25% + MaSO4 @0.25%	7.87a	8.09a	8.37a	6.04a	7.59	7.90a	8.11a	8.40a	5.88a	1.57
$Z_{13}O4 = 0.25\% + 1003O4 = 0.25\%$	E 064	6.044	C 10d	1014	E 71	E 004	6.214	C 17d	4 4 4 4	F 77
I-9 (Control)	5.860	6.240	6.400	4.340	5.71	5.890	6.310	6.470	4.440	5.77
Mean	6.89	7.22	7.43	3.22		6.91	7.28	7.46	5.18	
_LSD (0.05%)	0.89	0.81	0.80	0.78		0.81	0.78	0.82	0.69	

Table 7. Effect of foliar spray of NPK, Borax, ZnSO₄ and MgSO₄ on total sugar of Strawberry (*Fragaria ananassa* Duch.) cv. Winter Dawn during storage

3.3 Changes in Ascorbic Acid (mg/100g)

A perusal data of Table No.4 revealed that Ascorbic acid content decreased with the advancement of day of the storage during both the years (2022-23 and 2023-24). Maximum ascorbic acid (56.84mg) were observed in the treatment T8 (NPK @0.5% + Borax @0.25% + ZnSO₄ @0.25%+ MgSO₄ @0.25%) which was statistically at par with T7 (NPK @0.5% + Borax @0.25%+ ZnSO₄ @0.25%) 56.51mg on 1st day of the storage. However, minimum ascorbic acid (47.27mg) was observed in the treatment T9 (control) followed by the T1 (NPK @0.5%) 48.11mg on 4th day of storage during the year 2022-23. Similarly, during 2023-24 maximum ascorbic acid (56.85mg) were observed in the treatment T8 (NPK @0.5% + Borax @0.25% + ZnSO₄ @0.25%+ MgSO₄ @0.25%) which was statistically at par with T7 (NPK @0.5% + Borax @0.25%+ ZnSO₄ @0.25%) 56.48mg and T3 (NPK @1.5%) on 1st day of the storage. However, minimum ascorbic acid (47.29mg) was observed in the treatment T9 (control) followed by the T1 (NPK @0.5%) 48.12mg on 4th day of storage during the year 2022-23. Kumar et al., [8] observed that the Borax reduce the losses of ascorbic acids during storage.

3.4 Changes in Reducing Sugar (%)

A perusal data of Table No.5 revealed that reducing sugar increased with the advancement of day of the storage up to 2nd day after that decreased during both the years (2022-23 and 2023-24). Maximum reducing sugar (4.59%) were observed in the treatment T8 (NPK @0.5% + Borax @0.25% + ZnSO4 @0.25%+ MgSO4 @0.25%) which was statistically at par with T7 (NPK @0.5% + Borax @0.25%+ ZnSO₄ @0.25%) 4.56%, T3 (NPK @1.5%) 4.41% and T4 (NPK @1% +Borax @0.25%) 4.36% on 2nd day of the storage. However, minimum reducing sugar (3.35%) was observed in the treatment T9 (control) which was statistically at par with T1 (NPK @0.5%) 3.54% on 4th day of storage during the year 2022-23. Similarly, during 2023-24 maximum reducing sugar (4.61%) were observed in the treatment T8 (NPK @0.5% + Borax $@0.25\% + ZnSO_4 @0.25\% + MgSO_4 @0.25\%)$ which was statistically at par with T7 (NPK @1% + MgSO4 @0.25%) 4.57% on 2nd day of the storage. However, minimum reducing sugar (3.36%) was observed in the treatment T9 (control) which was statistically at par with T1 (NPK @0.5%) 3.58% on 4th day of storage during the year 2023-24.

3.5 Changes in Non-reducing Sugar (%)

A perusal data of Table No.6 revealed that Nonreducing sugar increased with the advancement of day of the storage up to 2nd day after that decreased during both the years (2022-23 and 2023-24). Maximum non-reducing sugar (3.06%) were observed in the treatment T8 (NPK @0.5% + Borax @0.25% + ZnSO4 @0.25% + MgSO4 @0.25%) which was statistically at par with T7 (NPK @0.5% + Borax @0.25%+ ZnSO4 @0.25%) 3.04%, T3 (NPK @1.5%) 2.95% and T4 (NPK @1% +Borax @0.25%) 2.91% on 2nd day of the storage. However, minimum nonreducing sugar (2.24%) was observed in the treatment T9 (control) which was statistically at par with T1 (NPK @0.5%) 2.37% on 4th day of storage during the year 2022-23. Similarly, during 2023-24 maximum non-reducing sugar (3.08%) were observed in the treatment T8 (NPK @0.5% + Borax @0.25% + ZnSO4 @0.25%+ MgSO4 @0.25%) which was statistically at par with T7 (NPK @1% + MgSO4 @0.25%) 3.05% and T3 (NPK @1%) 3.0% on 2nd day of the storage. However, non-reducing sugar (2.25%) was observed in the treatment T9 (control) on 4th day of storage during the year 2023-24.

3.6 Changes in Total Sugar (%)

A perusal data of Table No.7 revealed that total sugar increased with the advancement of day of the storage up to 2nd day after that decreased during both the years (2022-23 and 2023-24). Maximum total sugar (7.65%) were observed in the treatment T8 (NPK @0.5% + Borax @0.25% + ZnSO₄ @0.25%+ MgSO₄ @0.25%) which was statistically at par with T7 (NPK @0.5% + Borax @0.25%+ ZnSO₄ @0.25%) 7.60% and T3 (NPK @1.5%) 7.37% on 2nd day of the storage. However, minimum total sugar (5.59%) was observed in the treatment T9 (control) which was statistically at par with T1 (NPK @0.5%) 5.91% on 4th day of storage during the year 2022-23. Similarly, during 2023-24 maximum total sugar (7.70%) were observed in the treatment T8 (NPK @0.5% + Borax @0.25% + ZnSO4 MgSO₄ @0.25%+ @0.25%) which was statistically at par with T7 (NPK @1% + MgSO4 @0.25%) 7.61% and T3 (NPK@ 1.5%) on 2nd day of the storage. However, minimum total sugar (5.61%) was observed in the treatment T9 (control) which was statistically at par with T1 (NPK @0.5%) 5.98% on 4th day of storage during the year 2023-24. Kumar et al., [8] observed that the Borax reduce the losses of Total sugar during storage.

4. CONCLUSION

It is concluded that the application of NPK @0.5% + Borax @0.25% + ZnSO₄ @0.25%+ MgSO₄ @0.25% noted significantly better results in terms of minimum physiological loss of weight, total soluble solids, titrable acidity, ascorbic acid, reducing sugar, non- reducing sugar and total sugar content. It was followed by T7 (NPK @1% + MgSO₄ @0.25%) 7.61%) that is significant for the storage of strawberry at ambient conditions.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- 1. Hancock JF. Strawberries crop production science in horticulture. CABI, Publishing, Oxon, Uk. 1999;109-112.
- Yadav P, Mishra KK, Yadav AK, Pandey G, Kumar V. Effect of organic manure, NPK and mulching on better growth, yield and quality of strawberry (*Fragaria ananassa Duch.*) cv. Camarosa. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2020;9(2):1489-1495.
- 3. Boriss H, Brunke H, Kreith M. Commodity profile: Table grapes. Agricultural Issues Center; 2006.
- 4. Singh R, Asrey R, Kumar S. Effect of plastic tunnel and mulching on growth and yield of strawberry. Indian Journal of Horticulture. 2006;63(1):18-20.
- 5. Kachwaya DS, Chandel JS. Effect of fertigation on growth, yield, fruit quality and

leaf nutrients content of strawberry (Fragariax ananassa) CV Chandler. Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2015; 85(10):1319-1323.

- Goswami AK, Shukla HS, Prabhat Kumar PK, Mishra DS. Effect of pre-harvest application of micro-nutrients on quality of guava (*Psidium guajava L.*) cv. Sardar; 2012.
- Kharwade SB. Effect of foliar application of different chemicals on yield and quality of guava (*Psidium Guajava L.*) var. Sardar (Doctoral dissertation, Vasantrao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth, Parbhani); 2018.
- Shrawan Kumar SK, Singh AK, Archana Singh AS. Effect of foliar application of various growth regulators nutrients on shelf life and chemical attributes of guava cv. Lucknow-49; 2011.
- 9. AOAC. Official Methods of Analysis. Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Washington, DC; 1990.
- 10. Rangana S. Ascorbic acid. Manual Analysis of Fruit and Vegetable Products. 1977;94-101.
- Rangana S. Handbook of analysis and quality control for fruits and vegetable products. 1st Edn. New Delhi. McGraw – Hill; 1986.
- Tripathi A, Uniyal S, Pandey V. Role of preharvest spray of nutrients on the shelf life improvement of apple (*Malus domestica* Borkh.) in Uttarakhand. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2019;8(1):1069-1072.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/117238